Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/672,007	KRONESTEDT, CARL FREDRIC ULF	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Inder P Mehra	2666	
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) <u>Inder P Mehra</u> .	(3)		
(2) Steven W. Smith, Attorney, Regd. No. 36,684.	(2) <u>Steven W. Smith, Attorney, Regd. No. 36,684</u> . (4)		
Date of Interview: 28 February 2005	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 13 and 19			
Prior art documents discussed:  NONE			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claim 13 amended as set forth in "Examiner's Amendment" in office action.			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signative	gnature – if appropriate)	